

**Erratum to: Assessing sarcopenic prevalence and risk factors in residential aged care: methodology and feasibility**

Henwood, Timothy R; Keogh, Justin W; Reid, Natasha; Jordan, Will; Senior, Hugh E

*Published in:*  
Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle

*DOI:*  
[10.1007/s13539-014-0151-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13539-014-0151-0)

*Licence:*  
CC BY-NC

[Link to output in Bond University research repository.](#)

*Recommended citation(APA):*  
Henwood, T. R., Keogh, J. W., Reid, N., Jordan, W., & Senior, H. E. (2014). Erratum to: Assessing sarcopenic prevalence and risk factors in residential aged care: methodology and feasibility. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, 5(3), [237]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13539-014-0151-0>

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

## Erratum to: Assessing sarcopenic prevalence and risk factors in residential aged care: methodology and feasibility

Timothy R. Henwood · Justin W. Keogh · Natasha Reid ·  
Will Jordan · Hugh E. Senior

Published online: 5 June 2014  
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

### Erratum to: J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle DOI 10.1007/s13539-014-0144-z

The number of participants reported in the ActivPAL sample was incorrectly reported at 33; in fact, there were 31 ActivPAL participants.

The penultimate sentence of the “Results” subsection of the Abstract should therefore have stated: “A total of 31 residents participated in the activPAL study.”

The fifth and sixth sentences of subsection “3.3 ActivPAL sub-study” of the Results section should have stated: “The final participant sample consisted of 31 residents. Of those, 2 did not return their diaries, 2 did not complete the diary, 13 (42 %) partially completed the diary, and 14 (42 %) completed the diary in full.”

This error has no effect on the conclusions drawn. Nevertheless, the authors apologise for any inconvenience.

---

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13539-014-0144-z>.

---

T. R. Henwood (✉)  
University of Queensland and Blue Care Research and Practice  
Development Centre, School of Nursing and Midwifery, University  
of Queensland, 56 Sylvan Rd, Brisbane, Queensland 4066, Australia  
e-mail: [t.henwood@uq.edu.au](mailto:t.henwood@uq.edu.au)

T. R. Henwood · J. W. Keogh · W. Jordan  
Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond University, Gold  
Coast, Australia

J. W. Keogh  
Human Potential Centre, AUT University, Auckland, New Zealand

J. W. Keogh  
Cluster for Health Improvement, Faculty of Science, Health,  
Education and Engineering, University of the Sunshine Coast,  
Sunshine Coast, Queensland, Australia

N. Reid  
Cancer Prevention Research Centre, School of Population Health,  
University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

H. E. Senior  
Discipline of General Practice, School of Medicine, University of  
Queensland, Ipswich, Australia